

PEDIGREE OF DISABILITY RETALIATION

Andrew U. D. Straw STATEMENT: I am disabled physically and mentally due to my public service and the crimes of others:

<http://disability.andrewstraw.com> <http://crime.andrewstraw.com>

<http://camplejeune.andrewstraw.com>

I have pursued court cases and public advocacy to oppose a wide variety of disability discrimination. This document is meant to demonstrate how my legitimate attempts to get justice have resulted in yet more attacks and retaliation.

YEAR	CASE	NO. OF VIOLATIONS
2013	<p><i>Straw v. Streamwood Chamber, et. al.</i>, 13-L-063066 (Cook Co. Cir. Ct.)</p> <p><i>Straw v. Streamwood Chamber, et. al.</i>, 2015 IL App (1st) 14-3094-U</p> <p><i>Straw v. Streamwood Chamber, et. al.</i>, No. 120742 (Ill., 9/28/2016)</p>	<p>Chamber and Paddock Publications accused me of “extortion” for opposing the lack of about 150 handicap spaces in local entities. The town audit showing I was right was in the Trial Court record in Cook County.</p> <p>150 proven violations of the ADA, Titles II & III.</p>
2014	<p><i>Straw v. Kloecker et al</i>, 1:14-cv-01420-MIS (N.D. Ill. 2014)</p> <p><i>Andrew Straw v. John Kloecker, et al</i>, 14-1714 (7th Cir. 2015)</p> <p><i>Andrew Straw v. John Kloecker, et al</i>, 14-702 (certiorari denied, 1/20/2015)</p> <p>http://kloecker.andrewstraw.com</p>	<p>Lawyer for Paddock Publications demanded sensitive information and Medicare access to be given to the newspaper.</p> <p>This was done in the context of my case above against claims of “extortion” by a Chamber and newspaper publisher.</p> <p>150 proven violations of the ADA, Titles II & V.</p>

<p>2014 - 2015</p>	<p><i>Straw v. American Bar Association Section of Legal Education and Admission to the Bar et al</i>, 1:14-cv-05194-TMD (N.D. Ill. 2015)</p> <p>Harvard Law School now does what I asked in this case and they found that 60% of law students at Harvard have a mental illness.</p> <p>http://aba.andrewstraw.com</p>	<p>Opposed ADA violations by 50 top law schools and the ABA for not collecting data on disability while collecting the same data for gender and race.</p> <p>51 proven violations of the ADA, Titles II & III</p>
<p>2014 - 2015</p>	<p><i>Rutherford v. Zalas et. al.</i>, 2:14-cv-00369-JTM-PRC (N.D. Ind. 2015)</p> <p>Another district court in New York found a similar case and filings to be valid and GRANTED them, but my nearly identical filings were labeled “frivolous” and I have been punished with suspension over 3 years as a result. <i>Sinisgallo v. Town of Islip Hous. Auth.</i>, 865 F. Supp. 2d 307 (E.D.N.Y. 2012). Further, the National Council on Disability issued a huge 2012 report to the president that justified everything I asked for Mr. Rutherford. This was indisputably about the parenting time rights of a disabled man.</p> <p>http://rutherford.andrewstraw.com</p>	<p>Opposed ADA violations by a state trial court and a lawyer licensed in Indiana.</p> <p>2 violations of the ADA, Titles II & V</p>
<p>2015</p>	<p><i>Brenda Sconiers v. Andrew Straw</i>, 71D07-1310-CT-000265 (St. Jos. Sup. Ct. #7) (settled by insurance. Improper influence by Indiana Supreme Court ADA Coordinator attacking me with an uninvestigated disciplinary complaint, with notice provided of it to Sconiers’ attorney, Thomas M. Dixon, who entered this email into the record. \$33,000 insurance settlement with no admission of fault. However, the Indiana Supreme Court cited to this case as evidence of my incompetence even when THEIR OWN ADA COORDINATOR interfered in the case against me.) <i>Straw v. Sconiers et. al.</i>, 3:14-cv-01772-JD-CAN (N.D. Ind.)</p> <p>http://sconiers.andrewstraw.com</p>	<p>ADA Coordinator of the Indiana Supreme Court gave her uninvestigated disciplinary complaint to my former client to encourage her lawsuit. The lawyer entered the ADA coordinator complaint into the record even though it was wholly irrelevant and I proved my client was pursuing a case in which she admitted to having no damages in deposition.</p> <p>1 ADA Title II/V violation.</p>

<p>2014 - 2017</p>	<p>I made an ADA Title II complaint to the Clerk of the Indiana Supreme Court in August of 2014 and copied it to 150 members of the Indiana House and Senate. The Clerk rejected it twice and then forwarded my complaint/petition to the ADA coordinator.</p> <p>That ADA Coordinator first retaliated against the complaint, sent her complaint to the attorney in the Sconiers case, attacked my Camp LeJeune mental disability, attacked my own ADA complaint, attacked my 4 cases above (ABA/Kloecker/Rutherford/Sconiers), including the case she interfered in.</p> <p>My ADA complaint and the Disciplinary Complaint in response are both in a federal court record. <i>Straw v. Indiana Supreme Court, et. al.</i>, 1:16-cv-3483-SEB (S.D. Ind. 2/16/2017) (Dkts. 1-11 & 1-13). A federal judge said the ADA coordinator's retaliatory attack was not retaliation even though it was in direct and plain response to my ADA complaint, my 4 lawsuits, and my USMC poisoning disability. The above case treated this one in 2015/2016 as <i>res judicata</i> even though it was dismissed for lack of service and the other statements were <i>dicta</i> and I never sued some of the new defendants before.. <i>Straw v. Indiana Supreme Court, et. al.</i>, 1:15-cv-1015-RLY (S.D. Ind. 2016).</p> <p>The 7th Circuit denied me justice by using <i>res judicata</i> even when the first case was dismissed for lack of service (not appealable) and the first case did not include my hearing officer, who was given the benefit of <i>res judicata</i> in the later case. <i>Straw v. Indiana Supreme Court, et. al.</i>, 17-1338 (7th Cir. 7/6/2017). With this appeal pending, my 2017 trial judge and the 7th Circuit hired my Indiana hearing officer.</p>	<p>Opposed discrimination going back to 2001, when I was an employee and experienced discrimination in handicap parking, bar admission, and employment.</p> <p>I count approximately 300+ ADA violations of Titles II, III, and V.</p>
--------------------	--	---

<p>2017</p>	<p><i>In Re Straw</i>, 68 N.E.3d 1070 (Ind. 2/14/2017)</p> <p><i>Straw v. Indiana Supreme Court</i>, 16-1346 (U.S. Sup. Ct.) – cert. denied</p> <p>This discipline imposed 180 days of suspension without automatic reinstatement and despite my over 2 dozen motions to stop this ADA violation, no ORDERS have come from the Indiana Supreme Court, my vindictive and retaliating former employer. This resulted in over 3 years and 3 months of suspension for nothing more than doing civil rights work before hostile judges. My work was also law reform and the courts certain need to be reformed, drastically reformed.</p> <p>http://reform.andrewstraw.com</p> <p>http://frivolous.andrewstraw.com</p> <p>I have refuted the Indiana Discipline and showed that the suspension was outrageously long and unfair compared to the criminals who are routinely left off with little discipline:</p> <p>http://InReStraw.andrewstraw.com</p> <p>http://curtishill.andrewstraw.com</p> <p>The Virginia State Bar has wholly and completely rejected the Indiana Discipline, saying it “has all the grace and charm of a drive-by shooting.” VSB said I met the clear and convincing evidence standard to show I deserved no discipline in Virginia, and no discipline was imposed.</p> <p><i>In the Matter of Andrew U. D. Straw</i>, 17-000-108746 (VSB Disciplinary Board)</p> <p>VSB REJECTIONS: 2016 & 2017</p>	<p>More implementation of the ADA violations above, only now in an official court ORDER using discipline as a retaliatory tool.</p>
-------------	---	--

2018-2019	<i>Straw v. ABA</i> , 18-1795 (7th Cir. June 2018); <i>Straw v. Aon</i> , 2:19-cv-00598 (D.UT 11/2019)	ADA cases that were settled after I claimed the actions of the defendant/appellees were retaliatory and injured me based on the bogus Indiana Supreme Court ADA retaliatory discipline.
2020	<i>Straw v. Wolters Kluwer, et. al.</i> , 1:20-cv-3251-LLS (S.D.N.Y. 5/1/2020); <i>Straw v. Wolters Kluwer, et. al.</i> , 20-1507 (2nd Cir) <i>Straw v. Dentons, et. al.</i> , 1:20-cv-3312-CM (S.D.N.Y.) <i>Straw v. Avvo, Inc.</i> , 20-cv-294-JLR (W.D. Wa.) <i>Straw v. Harris, et. al.</i> , 2:20-cv-1281 (D.DC) <i>Straw v. Avvo</i> , 21-35971 (9th Cir. 2021)	Pending ADA Titles II/V and IIED cases for colluding and collaborating with the Indiana Supreme Court discipline. The ADA violations amount to more than 300, counting the retaliation against my ADA work, above, and my right to oppose violations. 42 U.S.C. §§ 12132 & 12133.

Please note that retaliation for ADA cases is broadly construed and strictly forbidden in the ADA, its regulations, and the DOJ technical assistance manual and neither any person nor entity may retaliate.

[42 U.S.C. § 12132](#) ADA TITLE II – ANTI-DISCRIMINATION

[42 U.S.C. § 12133](#) TITLE II ENFORCEMENT

[42 U.S.C. § 12203](#) TITLE V – ANTI-RETALIATION

[28 C.F.R. § 35.134](#) ADA TITLE II REGULATION

[II-3.11000](#) ADA TITLE II TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE